Site-Specific Metal Deposition

Attorney Docket No.: 6270-705.501

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: James F. Hainfeld	I
	Group Art Unit: 1657
	Examiner: Ralph J. Gitomer
Filing Date: September 8, 2003	CONFIRMATION NO: 2535
	CONFIRMATION NO: 2555
Title: Kit For Detecting HER-2/NEU Gene By	

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT AND APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 CFR \$1.705			
Sir or Mada	ım:		
		ereby requests(s) that the Office reconsider the Patent Term Adjustment and adjust the Paten mination for this application.	1t
	This re	equest is made AFTER the date of the Notice of Allowance;	
		This request is made ON or BEFORE payment of the issue fee.	
		OR	
		This request is made after issuance of the patent and is accompanied by a Certificate of Correction.	
		OR	
\boxtimes	This re	equest is made within TWO months from the date of issuance of the patent.	
The s adjustment are		of facts involved specifying the correct Patent Term Adjustment and the bases for the	
	35 U.S the dat	F.R. \$1.702(a)(1). The Office is required to mail at least one of a notification under S.C. \$132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. \$151 not later than <u>fourteen months</u> afte to on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. \$111(a) or fulfilled the requirements U.S.C. \$371 in an international application:	r
	The	date on which the application was filed:	
		14 month date on which the Office was to issue an	
		actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice	
	The o	difference for which Applicant should received credit	
		ck and Type Docket No.] 3094765_1.DOC	

Serial No.: 10/658,609; Filed September 8, 2003

	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
l	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(2). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. § 134 not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the reply was filed or appeal was taken:
	Reply
	The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection:
	4 months from the date of response to the notice of rejection
	The actual date on which the Office replied
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	Appeal
	The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal Brief:
	4 months from the date of the appeal brief
	The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or Notice of Allowance was mailed
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than four months after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one allowable claim remains in the application.
	The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the BPAI or Federal Court
	4 months from the date of decision
	The actual date on which the Office replied
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	37 C.F.R. $\S1.702(a)(3)$. The Office is required to issue a patent not later than four months after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. $\S151$:
	The date applicant paid issue fee:
	4 months from the date of issue fee payment
	The actual date on which the patent issued
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit

Serial No.: 10/658,609; Filed September 8, 2003

above. days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated
37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:
The date on which the application was filed
3 years from the date the application was filed
The date upon which the patent issued
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.
The date upon which the interference was declared
The date upon which the interference ended
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.
The date upon which the secrecy order was issued
The date upon which the secrecy order was removed
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BPAI or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentiability.
The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court
The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.

Serial No.: 10/658,609; Filed September 8, 2003

\boxtimes	37 C.F.R. §1.703. The Office is entitled to adjust the sum of the patent term adjustment for Applicant delay. However, Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.
	The Office erroneously deducted 19 days for Applicant delay based on an Amendment after Allowance under 37 C.F.R. §1.312. Applicant filed the Amendment electronically on December 22, 2006. The Office responded to the Amendment on January 9, 2007. The number of days between the period of December 22, 2006 and January 9, 2007 is 18 days, not 19 days. Applicant requests that this deduction be removed and the patent term be restored 1 day.
	37 C.F.R. §1.704. The Office is entitled to reduce the period of patent term adjustment for Applicant delay:
	Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement dated was accompanied by a statement under 1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to filing the information disclosure statement.
	Thus, applicant should be credited days which were erroneously deducted from the patent term for applicant's delay.
§1.18(e	horization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. of \$0.00 and charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this nication to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No.: 6270-705.501).
	Respectfully submitted,
	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
d	mel 10 2007

Shirley Chen, Ph.D., Esq. Registration No. 44,608

Ø

650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

Telephone No.: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile No.: (650) 493-6811

Customer No.: 021971